

FERMILAB-Pub-91/209

# Polarization of E<sup>-</sup> Hyperons Produced by800 GeV Protons

J. Duryea, G. Guglielmo, K. Heller, K. Johns, M. Shupe and K. Thorne

School of Physics and Astronomy University of Minnesota Minneapolis, Minnesota 55455

C. James, K.B. Luk and R. Rameika

Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory P.O. Box 500, Batavia, Illinois 60510

P.M. Ho and M.J. Longo

Department of Physics, University of Michigan Ann Arbor, Michigan 48109

H.T. Diehl, S. Teige and G.B. Thomson

Department of Physics and Astronomy Rutgers-The State University Minneapolis, Minnesota 55455

November 1991



#### Disclaimer

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefullness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

## Polarization of Z- Hyperons Produced

### by 800 GeV Protons

J. Duryea, G. Guglielmo, K. Heller, K. Johns<sup>(a)</sup>, M. Shupe<sup>(a)</sup>, and K. Thorne<sup>(b)</sup>

School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN 55455

C. James, K. B. Luk<sup>(c)</sup>, and R. Rameika

Fermilab, Batavia, IL 60510

P. M.  $Ho^{(d)}$ , and M. J. Longo

Department of Physics, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI 48109

H. T. Diehl<sup>(b)</sup>, S. Teige<sup>(e)</sup>, and G. B. Thomson

Department of Physics and Astronomy,

Rutgers-The State University, Piscataway, NJ 08854

The polarization of  $\Xi^-$  hyperons,  $P_{\Xi^-}$ , produced by 800 GeV protons has been measured for  $x_F$  from 0.3 to 0.7 and  $p_T$  from 0.5 to 1.5 GeV/c.  $P_{\Xi^-}$  has a  $p_T$  dependence similar to that of the  $\Lambda$  but has a different  $x_F$  behavior. Also, an energy dependence of  $P_{\Xi^-}$  has been observed.

Polarization of hyperons produced by high energy protons has been found to be a universal phenomena arising from strong interactions. Such polarization was discovered for the  $\Lambda$  1 and has been measured to have comparable magnitude for the  $\Xi$ 0

<sup>2</sup>,  $\Xi^{-3,4}$ ,  $\Sigma^{+5}$ ,  $\Sigma^{0.6}$ , and  $\Sigma^{-7}$  hyperons produced by protons. The kinematic dependence of this polarization has been most extensively studied for  $\Lambda$ 's. A polarization,  $P_{\Lambda}$ , is approximately energy independent from 12 GeV to 2000 GeV equivalent fixed target energy. 8 It increases approximately linearly with both Feynman x,  $x_F$ , and transverse momentum,  $p_T$ . Above a  $p_T$  of about 1 GeV/c,  $\Lambda$  polarization appears to be independent of  $p_T$  but has a strong dependence on  $x_F$ . 8,9 Polarization data from other hyperons are of lower precision and do not span a wide enough kinematic range to independently determine their behavior. These data, however, appear to have a behavior consistent with that of the A. 8 Perturbative QCD does not predict such polarization either in magnitude or kinematic behavior 10, but is not thought to be applicable in the low  $p_T$  region ( $p_T < 4 \text{ GeV/c}$ ) probed by the data. Phenomenological models that attempt to use the general properties of the color field give polarization results which depend only on the origin of the valence quarks and the spin structure of the hyperon.  $^{11-15}$  Since these models were developed to explain the  $\Lambda$  polarization data, it is necessary to test their validity by measuring the kinematic behavior of the polarization of other hyperons, as well as to investigate the general properties of this phenomenon.

We present polarization results which span a kinematic range of  $0.3 < x_F < 0.7$  and  $0.5 < p_T < 1.5$  GeV/c from a sample of  $4.6 \times 10^6$   $\Xi^-$ 's produced by 800 GeV protons at FNAL. The decay chain  $\Xi^- \to \Lambda + \pi^-$ ,  $\Lambda \to p + \pi^-$  was detected. This is the first time that hyperon polarization, other than  $\Lambda$  polarization, has been measured at any energy above 400 GeV and with a sufficient kinematic range to test the generality of

conclusions drawn from  $P_{\Lambda}$  results.

An 800 GeV proton beam struck a 2 mm  $\times$  2 mm  $\times$  9 cm beryllium target to produce  $\Xi^-$ 's at a production angle on the order of 2 mrad. A parity conserving component of the  $\Xi^-$  polarization would be perpendicular to the production plane defined by the direction of the proton beam and the  $\Xi^-$ ,  $\hat{k}_p \times \hat{k}_{\Xi^-}$ . After passing through a dipole magnet (M1) the  $\Xi^-$ 's and decay products were detected by a charged particle spectrometer which consisted of 8 planes of silicon microstrip detectors (SSD's), 9 multi-wire proportional chambers (MWPC's), and two analyzing magnets to measure the momenta of the daughter particles. The apparatus is described elsewhere. <sup>16,17</sup>

The  $\Xi^-$  polarization was found by measuring the polarization of the daughter  $\Lambda$ . These two quantities are related by

$$\vec{P}_{\Lambda} = \frac{(\alpha_{\Xi} + \hat{\Lambda} \cdot \vec{P}_{\Xi})\hat{\Lambda} + \beta_{\Xi}(\vec{P}_{\Xi} \times \hat{\Lambda}) + \gamma_{\Xi}(\hat{\Lambda} \times \vec{P}_{\Xi}) \times \hat{\Lambda}}{1 + \alpha_{\Xi}\hat{\Lambda} \cdot \vec{P}_{\Xi}}$$
(1)

where  $\alpha_{\Xi}$ ,  $\beta_{\Xi}$ , and  $\gamma_{\Xi}$  are the decay parameters for the decay  $\Xi^- \to \Lambda + \pi^-$ , and  $\hat{\Lambda}$  is the direction of the  $\Lambda$  in the rest frame of the  $\Xi^-$ . In this analysis  $\beta_{\Xi}$  was taken to be zero <sup>18</sup> giving:

$$\vec{P}_{\Lambda} = \frac{\gamma_{\Xi} \vec{P}_{\Xi} + \left(\alpha_{\Xi} + (1 - \gamma_{\Xi})\hat{\Lambda} \cdot \vec{P}_{\Xi}\right)\hat{\Lambda}}{1 + \alpha_{\Xi}\hat{\Lambda} \cdot \vec{P}_{\Xi}}$$
(2)

 $P_{\Lambda}$  was measured by examining the distribution of the proton in the rest frame of the  $\Lambda$  reached from the laboratory frame through the  $\Xi^-$  rest frame. The proton distribution is given by

$$\frac{dn}{d\Omega} = \frac{1}{4\pi} (1 + \alpha_{\Lambda} \vec{P_{\Lambda}} \cdot \hat{p}) \tag{3}$$

where  $\alpha_{\Lambda}$  is the decay parameter for the  $\Lambda \to p + \pi^-$  decay, and  $\hat{p}$  is the direction of the daughter proton in the  $\Lambda$  rest frame. In practice this distribution was modified by the acceptance of both the spectrometer and the reconstruction algorithm. A hybrid Monte Carlo technique <sup>19</sup> was employed to determine the  $\Lambda$  polarization by correcting for the acceptance. The measured  $\Lambda$  polarization is the sum of the real polarization and any bias which results from uncorrected imperfections in the detection and reconstruction procedure. The polarization changes sign with the production angle while the bias, which is a property of the apparatus, does not. The bias is measured, and cancelled, when data are taken at both positive and negative production angles.

To determine any residual systematic uncertainties, the polarization was measured using data sets with opposite fields of the analyzing magnets which changes the correlation of the momentum of the  $\Xi^-$  decay products with their positions in the downstream part of the spectrometer. The agreement of the polarization measurements was excellent;  $\chi^2$  per degree of freedom was 0.9 for 9 degrees of freedom. In addition, the parity violating y-component of the polarization was measured for the entire vertical production angle sample to check for possible measurement problems. It was found to be 0.0005  $\pm$  0.0011 in good agreement with the expected value of zero.  $P_{\Xi^-}$  was stable to reasonable variations of the data selection criteria to better than 0.5 standard deviations.

The  $\Xi^-$  polarization at the target can be found by correcting for the precession of

the spin through the magnet M1. Defining a coordinate system with  $\hat{z}$  in the  $\Xi^-$  momentum direction,  $\hat{y}$  directed up, and  $\hat{x} = \hat{y} \times \hat{z}$ , a polarization produced in the x-z plane would obey the following equations

$$P_x(p) = P(p)\cos\phi + H\sin\phi \tag{4}$$

$$P_z(p) = P(p)\sin\phi + H\cos\phi \tag{5}$$

where  $P_x(p)$  and  $P_z(p)$  are the measured  $\Xi^-$  polarization components, P(p) is the parity conserving component of  $P_{\Xi^-}$  perpendicular to the production plane  $(\hat{x})$  at the target, H is the parity violating component of the polarization in the direction of the  $\Xi^-$  momentum (helicity), and p is the  $\Xi^-$  momentum. The angle  $\phi$  is the difference between the precession angle of the spin and momentum of the  $\Xi^-$ . It changes only with the magnetic field of M1.

The measurement of the  $\Xi^-$  helicity yielded H=0.009±0.008, consistent with zero as required by parity conservation in strong interactions. The x and y components of the bias were measured to be less than 1%, while that in the z direction was approximately 3%. <sup>16</sup> The measured values for  $P_{\Xi^-}$  are listed in Table 1 as a function of momentum with H constrained to be zero. The 1.3 mrad production angle was horizontal while the other production angles were vertical. These angles were measured to better than 0.08 mrad. The three different production angle data sets, 1.7 mrad, 2.1 mrad, and 2.7 mrad, were selected from the vertical production angle data based on the reconstructed  $\Xi^-$  momentum vector. The results in the final column were selected

from these data such that the average production angle would be precisely 2.5 mrad. This was done to facilitate a comparison with previous hyperon polarization results and is not independent of the 1.7 mrad, 2.1 mrad, and 2.7 mrad data sets.

Figure 1 shows the 2.5 mrad results compared to  $P_{\Xi^-}$  measurements from an experiment with a 400 GeV proton beam and a 5.0 mrad production angle. <sup>3</sup> A direct comparison can be made with this experiment since its beam energy is half and its production angle is twice that of the present experiment. The data with the same  $p_T$  for the two experiments will also have the same  $x_F$ . The magnitude of  $P_{\Xi^-}$  at 800 GeV is consistently larger than that at 400 GeV, demonstrating that  $P_{\Xi^-}$  is energy dependent between 400 GeV and 800 GeV. Since the polarization is a function of  $p_T$ , a systematic uncertainty in determining the production angle could account for the difference if that uncertainty were as large as 1.0 mrad in the 400 GeV experiment or 0.5 mrad in our experiment. In both cases it is significantly outside of the measurement uncertainty.

It is also obvious from Figure 1 that  $P_{\Xi^-}$  does not continue to increase with  $p_T$ . The kinematic behavior of  $P_{\Xi^-}$  is shown in Figure 2 as a function of  $p_T$  for different choices of  $x_F$ . <sup>16</sup> For reference, the lines in the figure represent the behavior of 400 GeV  $\Lambda$  polarization in this kinematic region. <sup>8,9</sup> In the range of  $x_F$  measured in this experiment, the  $\Xi^-$  polarization does not demonstrate the strong  $x_F$  dependence shown by  $P_{\Lambda}$ . The  $p_T$  behavior of  $P_{\Xi^-}$  is consistent with that of  $P_{\Lambda}$ , an approximately linear  $p_T$  dependence for small  $p_T$ , and independent of  $p_T$  above  $p_T$  of 1 GeV/c. Figure

3 illustrates the kinematic behavior of the polarization above  $p_T$  of 1 GeV/c. Here  $P_{\Lambda}$  increases linearly with  $x_F$  but  $P_{\Xi^-}$  appears to be independent of  $x_F$ .

It is interesting to compare  $P_{\Xi^-}$  and  $P_{\Xi^0}$  since both  $\Xi^-$  and  $\Xi^0$  production can be pictured as arising from the replacement of two valence quarks from an unpolarized proton by two s quarks. Figure 4 compares the 1.7 mrad  $\Xi^-$  results to 400 GeV  $P_{\Xi^0}$  measurements done at 3.5 mrad. These data match kinematically in  $x_F$  and  $p_T$ . The magnitude of  $P_{\Xi^-}$  appears to be consistently less than that of  $P_{\Xi^0}$  but the  $\Xi^0$  uncertainties are large. Taking into account the energy dependence of  $\Xi^-$  polarization, this difference could be enhanced since  $|P_{\Xi^-}|_{400GeV} < |P_{\Xi^-}|_{800GeV}$ . Clearly, high statistics 800 GeV  $\Xi^0$  data are needed to make a definitive comparison.

This experiment has explored the behavior of the  $\Xi^-$  polarization as a function of beam energy,  $x_F$ , and  $p_T$ . The  $\Xi^-$  polarization has been compared to the behavior of the polarization of the  $\Lambda$ . While the sign and  $p_T$  dependence of these polarizations are similar, there are two significant differences.  $P_{\Xi^-}$  shows a definite energy dependence which does not appear to exist for  $P_{\Lambda}$ . However, measurements allowing for the direct comparison of  $p_T$  and  $x_F$  behavior at different energies have never been made for  $\Lambda$ 's. Secondly,  $P_{\Xi^+}$  does not show the  $x_F$  dependence of the  $\Lambda$  data. Similar kinematic behavior might be expected since both  $P_{\Lambda}$  and  $P_{\Xi^-}$  arise from the process of producing strange quarks (1 or 2) and combining them with valence quarks (2 or 1) from an unpolarized proton to form the observed hyperon. Finally, the apparent difference between  $P_{\Xi^0}$  and  $P_{\Xi^-}$  is puzzling since both the production mechanism and the initial

and final states seem equivalent with respect to quark spin. Providing an explanation which accounts for the observed difference in the behavior of the  $\Xi^-$  polarization from that of the  $\Lambda$  and the  $\Xi^0$  should lead to a better understanding of this phenomenon and perhaps the strong interaction in general.

This work was supported in part by the U.S. DOE and the NSF. K.B.L. was also partially supported by a DOE Outstanding Junior Investigator and an Alfred P. Sloan Fellowship. We are very grateful for the support of the Fermilab staff and to L. Pondrom for the use of most of the MWPC's. Important contributions from P. Border, G. Eblin, M. Groblewski, D. Maxam, and Y. Zou are gratefully acknowledged.

- "Current Address: Department of Physics, University of Arizona, Tucson, AZ 85721.
- <sup>b</sup>Current Address: Physics Department, Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory, Batavia, IL 60510.
- <sup>c</sup>Current Address: Department of Physics, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720.
- <sup>d</sup>Current Address: Physics Division, Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720.
- <sup>e</sup>Current Address: Department of Physics, University of Indiana, Bloomington, IN 47405.
- <sup>1</sup>G. Bunce et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 36, 1113 (1976).
- <sup>2</sup>P. T. Cox et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 46, 877 (1981).
- <sup>3</sup>R. Rameika et al., Phys. Rev. **D33**, 3172 (1986).
- <sup>4</sup>L. H. Trost et al., Phys. Rev. **D40**, 1703 (1989).
- <sup>5</sup>C. Wilkinson et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 58, 855 (1987).
- <sup>6</sup>E. C. Dukes et al., Phys. Lett. 193B, 135 (1987); B.E. Bonner et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 62, 1591 (1989).
- <sup>7</sup>L. Deck et al., Phys. Rev. D28, 1 (1983); Y. W. Wah et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 55,

- 2551 (1985).
- <sup>8</sup>K. Heller, Journal de Physique 46, C2-121 (1985).
- <sup>9</sup>B. Lundberg et al., Phys. Rev. **D40**, 3557 (1989).
- <sup>10</sup>G. L. Kane, J. Pumplin, and W. Repko, Phys. Rev. Lett. 41, 1257 (1978).
- <sup>11</sup>W. G. D. Dharmaratna and G. R. Goldstein, Phys. Rev. **D41**, 1731 (1990).
- <sup>12</sup>T.A. DeGrand and H. Miettinen, Phys. Rev. **D24**, 2419 (1981).
- <sup>13</sup>P. Cea, P. Chiapetta, J.P. Guillet, and G. Nardulli, Phys. Lett. 183B, 361 (1987).
- <sup>14</sup>B. Anderson, G.Gustafson, and G. Ingelman, Phys. Lett. 85B, 417 (1979).
- <sup>15</sup>J. Szwed, Phys. Lett. **105B**, 403 (1981).
- <sup>16</sup>J. Duryea, Ph. D. thesis, University of Minnesota, (1991), unpublished.
- <sup>17</sup>P. M. Ho et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 65, 1713 (1990).
- <sup>18</sup>O. E. Overseth and S. Pakvasa, Phys. Rev. 184, 1663 (1969).
- <sup>19</sup>G. Bunce, Nucl. Inst. Meth. **172**, 553 (1980).
- <sup>20</sup>K. Heller et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 51, 2025 (1983).

### List of Figures

- Fig. 1  $P_{\Xi^{-}}$  from this experiment and an experiment using 400 GeV protons with a 5 mrad production angle (Ref. 3). The data from the two experiments match in both  $x_F$  and  $p_T$ . Note the suppressed zero of the horizontal axis.
- Fig. 2  $P_{\Xi^-}$  as a function of  $p_T$  for contours of constant average  $x_F$ . The lines are a schematic representation of the behavior of the  $\Lambda$  polarization from  $x_F=0.3$  to  $x_F=0.6$ , the same region as the  $P_{\Xi^-}$  results.
- Fig. 3 Comparison of  $P_{\Xi^-}$  with  $P_{\Lambda}$  from another experiment (Ref. 9) as a function of  $x_F$ . Only data with a  $p_T$  greater than 1 GeV/c are included.
- Fig. 4 Comparison of 800 GeV, 1.7 mrad  $P_{\Xi^-}$  data with 400 GeV, 3.5 mrad  $P_{\Xi^0}$  measured in a previous experiment (Ref. 20). The data from the two experiments match in both  $x_F$  and  $p_T$ . Note the suppressed zero of the horizontal axis.

TABLE I. Polarization of  $\Xi^-$  as a function of momentum. The 1.3 mrad production angle was horizontal while the rest of the data come from vertical targeting.

| Mean E   |                  |              |              |              |              |
|----------|------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| Momentum | Production Angle |              |              |              |              |
| (GeV/c)  | 1.3 mrad         | 1.7 mrad     | 2.1 mrad     | 2.7 mrad     | 2.5 mrad     |
| 255      | ****             | -0.068±0.029 | -0.103±0.025 | -0.067±0.027 | -0.087±0.020 |
| 290      |                  | -0.087±0.011 | -0.092±0.010 | -0.126±0.011 | -0.111±0.008 |
| 330      | ****             | -0.085±0.007 | -0.107±0.006 | -0.127±0.008 | -0.124±0.005 |
| 365      | ****             | -0.104±0.005 | -0.129±0.005 | -0.137±0.006 | -0.129±0.004 |
| 405      | -0.075±0.017     | -0.120±0.005 | -0.136±0.005 | -0.140±0.007 | -0.138±0.004 |
| 445      | -0.056±0.013     | -0.119±0.006 | -0.135±0.006 | -0.150±0.008 | -0.145±0.006 |
| 480      | -0.081±0.014     | -0.121±0.008 | -0.132±0.009 | -0.121±0.013 | -0.124±0.008 |
| 520      | -0.059±0.023     | -0.111±0.012 | -0.139±0.015 | -0.152±0.025 | -0.152±0.014 |
| 560      |                  | -0.098±0.025 | ****         | ••••         | -0.143±0.030 |

Figure 1




Figure 2




Figure 3




Figure 4

